## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY DAWAYNE LEE TURNER,	)
Plaintiff(s),	) No. C 10-5709 CRB (PR)
v.	ORDER
TOM GRAF, et al.,	) (Docket # 69 & 71)
Defendant(s).	) }
	)

Plaintiff's motions (docket # 69 & 71) for an order compelling certain discovery are DENIED as premature. The court will not entertain discovery motions unless the parties first comply with the meet-and-confer requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Local Rules. See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a) (motion to compel must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the party not making the disclosure in an effort to secure the disclosure without court action); Civ. L. R. 37-1 (same). In view of plaintiff's commitment, the parties may satisfy the meet-and-confer requirements by letter or telephone conversation. Cf. Civil L. R. 1-5(n) ("meet and confer" or "confer" means to communicate directly and discuss in good faith the issue(s); mere sending of a written, electronic or voice-mail communication does not satisfy a requirement to "meet and confer" or to

1	"confer;" requirement can be satisfied only through direct dialogue and	
2	discussion – either in a face to face meeting or in a telephone conversation).	
3	SO ORDERED.	
4	DATED: April 11, 2012	
5	CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	N:\10-5709.crborder.wpd	
27	11./10-3703.cibbitaci.wpa	